

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/607,617		RIPPINGALE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Robert M. Pond		3625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert M. Pond. (3)_____

(2) Mr. Earle Jennings, #44,804. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 29 January 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 56.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bowman-Amuah.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See attached email communication pertaining to specific disclosures in Bowman-Amuah.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/607,617		RIPPINGALE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Robert M. Pond		3625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert M. Pond. (3) _____

(2) Mr. Earle Jennings, #44,804. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 March 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: All pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bowman-Amuah.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement in part was reached through a series of telephonic-based discussions pertaining to claim language and clarification of claimed subject matter.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,617	RIPPINGALE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert M. Pond	3625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert M. Pond. (3)_____

(2) Mr. Earle Jennings, #44,804. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 11 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: All pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Received final authorization to cancel "product of the process" language and dependent claims solely based on such language. Received authorization to cancel claims 41-43.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/607,617		RIPPINGALE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Robert M. Pond		3625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert M. Pond. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Earle Jennings, #44,804. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 56.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Reached agreement to incorporate subject matter suggested by the Examiner. See attached email correspondence.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Pond, Robert

From: Earle Jennings [ewj@ix.netcom.com]
Sent: Monday, February 19, 2007 10:05 PM
To: Pond, Robert
Cc: Susan Pottish; Jan Rippingale
Subject: Re: expediting prosecution of 10/607,617
Importance: High

Hello Rob,

Attached please find a proposed preliminary amendment, which I would like to review with you at your earliest convenience.

Thank you once again for the care you are taking with this application.

Best of days,
Earle Jennings
Registered Patent Agent No 44,804

Pond, Robert wrote:

Yes or Tuesday. We're off Monday for President's day and I'm off on Tuesday.

-----Original Message-----

From: Earle Jennings [mailto:ewj@ix.netcom.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2007 2:10 PM
To: Pond, Robert
Cc: Susan Pottish; Jan Rippingale
Subject: Re: expediting prosecution of 10/607,617

Hi Robert,

All typos are always Microsoft's fault. Didn't you know?

Regarding the claims, would Monday work for you as a delivery date?

Best of days,
Earle

Pond, Robert wrote:

Yes, send me your proposed language. All independents should reflect this

Rob

PS: I'm on campus using one of the hoteling workstations that has the spli

-----Original Message-----

From: Earle Jennings [mailto:ewj@ix.netcom.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2007 8:23 PM

Page # 20070219

4/29/07

To: Pond, Robert
Cc: Susan Pottish; Jan Rippingale
Subject: expediting prosecution of 10/607,617

Hello Rob,

I have heard back from the inventors and they have agreed to limiting the root claims in keeping the language from page 28 lines 9 to 10, "...getting the transaction detail to the second server before requesting web navigation from the first server".

Given your schedule this next week, would it help if I emailed you a proposed amendment? I will be working tomorrow if you would like to discuss things on the phone. If I am not at my desk, leave a message and I will return your call as soon as possible.

Best of days,
Earle Jennings
Registered Patent Agent
(510) 559-9074

4/29/07

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/607,716
Applicant : Jan Rippingale
5 Filed : June 27, 2003
T.C./A.U. : 3625
Examiner : Robert M. Pond
Docket No. : PTSH-001
Date : Feb 19, 2007

10

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

15

PELIMINARY AMENDMENT B

Sir:

20

Please enter the following amendment in response to the discussions held with Examiner Pond recently in view of expediting prosecution for the above-identified application.

Claims Listing begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 21 of this paper

Paplett 20070219

Amendments to the Claims

This listing of the claims will replace all prior versions, and listings of claims in the application:

5 Listing of Claims

1. (previously presented) A method for transacting business based upon said web view of Claim 95, comprising the steps of:

10 said first server interacting with said client via said client interface; and
 said first server providing said web view to said client interface.

2. (previously presented) The method of Claim 1, further comprising at least one the steps of:

15 retrieving at least part of said web view from a storage system;
 generating at least part of said web view from at least one direction from the client
 interface based upon a cue from said client; and
 receiving at least part of said web view from a database system.

3. (original) The method of Claim 2, wherein said first server is essentially distinct from at least one member of the collection comprising said storage system, and said database system.

20 4. (original) The method of Claim 2, wherein said first server includes at least one member of the collection comprising said storage system, and said database system.

5. (original) The method of Claim 2, wherein said first server is coupled to at least one member of the collection comprising said storage system, and said database system.

6. (not entered)

25 7. (original) The method of Claim 1, wherein said first server is the same as said second server.

8. (previously presented) Each of

said transaction detail getting to said second server via first server from said client interface, and

said web view provided to said client interface, as products of the process of Claim 1.

9. (original) A method using said transaction detail getting to said second server via first
5 server from said client interface, as a product of the process of Claim 1,

wherein said transaction includes a purchase involving a quantity of an item; and wherein
said corresponding item presentation includes a designation of said item and a designation of
said quantity;

said method comprising the step of:

10 said second server maintaining a shopping cart, including a shopping item, using said
transaction detail for said client accessing said first server, further comprising the step of:

said second server developing said shopping item included in said shopping cart, based
upon said transaction detail.

10. (original) The method of Claim 9, wherein the step developing said shopping item is further
15 comprised of the steps of:

using said transaction detail to create a purchase designation included in said shopping
item;

using said item indication of said transaction detail to create an item code included in said
shopping item; and

20 using said quantity indication of said transaction detail to create a quantity code included
in said shopping item.

11. (original) The method of Claim 9, further comprising the steps of:

said second server establishing a price for said purchase of said quantity of said item, to
create a price code included in said shopping item, presented to said client via said first server
25 and said client interface to create a presented price;

said second server receiving a commitment to pay for said purchase of said quantity of
said item at said presented price from said client via said client interface and said first server to
create a client commitment;

said second server confirming said client commitment via a financial interface, based upon an information about said client included in said shopping cart, to create a financial confirmation;

said second server creating a purchase agreement for said quantity of said item at said
5 presented price based upon said financial confirmation; and

said second server notifying a merchant of said purchase agreement.

12. (original) The method of Claim 11, wherein the step of said second server establishing said price is further comprised of a member of the collection comprising the steps of:

said second server using a price indication included in said transaction item to create said
10 presented price; and

said second server sending said presented price for said purchase to said client interface for presentation to said client.

13. (original) The method of Claim 11, wherein the step of said second server notifying said merchant is further comprised of at least one member of the collection comprising the steps of:

15 said second server sending said purchase agreement via a merchant interface to notify said merchant; and

said second server posting said purchase agreement in a task schedule for said merchant.

14. (original) The method of Claim 11, further comprising at least one of the members of the collection comprising the steps of:

20 said merchant at least partly owning said second server;
said merchant at least partly controlling said second server;
said merchant at least partly managing said second server; and
said merchant subscribing to be notified by said second server.

15. (original) The method of Claim 11, further comprising the steps of:

25 said second server sending a financial action to create a purchase revenue for said merchant, based upon said purchase agreement via said financial interface; and
said merchant receiving a purchase revenue based upon said financial action.

16. (original) The method of Claim 15,

wherein a server collection is comprised of said first server and said second server;
wherein said method is further comprised of the step of:
a service provider administering at least one of said server collection member;
said service provider receiving a server service fee based upon said purchase revenue.

- 5 17. (previously presented) Said server service fee as a product of the process of Claim 16.
18. (previously presented) Said purchase revenue and said financial action as products of the process of Claim 15.
19. (original) Said purchase agreement as a product of the process of Claim 11.
20. (original) Said shopping item as a product of the process of Claim 9.
- 10 21. (original) A second server means implementing at least one of said steps of Claim 9 using at least one member of the second server means collection comprising:
a second server computer controlled by a second server program system for said step comprised of at least one program step residing in a second server memory accessibly coupled to said second server computer;
- 15 a second server finite state machine for said step;
a second server neural network for said step; and
a second server inferential engine for said step.
22. (original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the means for requesting said web navigation is further comprised of at least one member of the collection comprising:
- 20 means for requesting a previously viewed web view;
means for requesting a new web view; and
means for requesting a reloading of said web view; and
means for requesting content item to alter said web view.
23. (previously presented) A method using said transaction detail getting to said second server
25 via first server from said client interface, as a product of the process of Claim 1,
wherein said transaction includes at least one event described by at least one event descriptor;

wherein said event descriptor includes at least one member of the description collection comprising a location, a phone number, a conference call center, a time period, a room reservation, a site reservation, a drink order, a food order, and a transport reservation;

5 wherein said event includes at least one member of a event type collection including a meeting, a multi-site meeting, a distant conference, and a vacation;

wherein said method is comprised of at least one member of the collection comprising the steps of:

generating a drink delivery agreement with a catering merchant for said drink order in said time period at said location costing a drink price;

10 generating a food delivery agreement with said catering merchant for said food order in said time period at said location costing a food price;

generating a food-drink delivery agreement with said catering merchant for said drink order and for said food order in said time period at said location costing a food-drink price;

making a room reservation agreement for said room reservation with a room provider;

15 making a site reservation agreement for said site reservation with a site provider; and

making a transport reservation agreement regarding said location and said time period with a transport facilitator;

a transport provider executing at least part of said transport reservation agreement; and

20 wherein a delivery agreement collection is comprised of said drink delivery agreement, said food delivery agreement, and said food-drink delivery agreement.

24. (original) The method of Claim 23, wherein said transaction further includes at least one participant.

25 25. (original) Said delivery agreement members, said site reservation agreement, said room reservation agreement, and said transport reservation agreement as products of the process of Claim 23.

26. (original) The method of Claim 23, further comprising at least one member of the collection comprising the steps of:

said catering merchant receiving a catering revenue based upon at least one of said delivery agreement members;

said room provider receiving a room revenue based at least in part upon said room reservation agreement;

said site provider receiving a site revenue based at least in part upon said site reservation agreement;

5 said transport facilitator receiving a transport assistance fee based upon said transport reservation agreement; and

said transport provider receiving a transport revenue based at least partly upon said transport provider executing said transport reservation agreement.

27. (original) Said site revenue, said room revenue, said catering revenue, said transport
10 assistance fee, said transport revenue as products of the process of Claim 26.

28. (original) The method of Claim 23, wherein said transport provider is essentially said transport facilitator.

29. (original) A method using said transaction detail getting to said second server via first server from said client interface, as a product of the process of Claim 1,

15 wherein said transaction includes at least one financial request for an amount; wherein said financial request is a member of a financial request collection comprising a deposit, a withdrawal, and a fee;

wherein said transaction detail reflects said transaction, containing a first financial request at said first amount;

20 said method of Claim 29, further comprising the steps of:

said second server sending a financial action to a financial institution based upon said transaction detail getting to said second server; and

said financial institution providing a financial service based upon said financial action;

25 wherein said financial service reflects said transaction detail, including a first service request reflecting said first financial request at first service amount reflecting said first amount for an account identified with said client.

30. (original) The method of Claim 29, wherein said transaction further includes for an account.

31. (original) The method of Claim 29, wherein the step of said second server sending said financial action is further comprised of the step of said second server sending said financial action via said financial interface to said financial institution.

32. (original) The method of Claim 29, wherein said account identified with said client is provided by said transaction detail reflecting an account included in said transaction.

33. (original) The method of Claim 29, further comprising the step of: said financial institution receiving a financial service revenue based at least partly upon said financial service.

34. (original) Said financial service revenue as a product of the process of Claim 33.

35. (original) Said financial service and said financial instructions as products of the process of Claim 29.

36. (original) A method using said transaction detail getting to said second server via first server from said client interface, as a product of the process of Claim 1,

wherein said transaction detail includes at least one trading action of a commodity for a quantity meeting a trading condition;

wherein each of said trading actions included in said transaction detail reflects a transaction;

wherein said trading action is a member of a trading action collection including a buy-order and a sell-order;

wherein said trading condition, when said trading action is said buy-order, includes a maximum-price; and

wherein said trading condition, when said trading action is said sell-order, includes a minimum-price;

wherein said method is comprised of the steps of:

said second server sending a trading commitment, based upon getting said transaction detail, to a trading agent;

said trading agent generating a trade based upon said trading commitment; and

said trading agent receiving a trading revenue based at least in part upon said trade.

37. (original) The method of Claim 36, further comprising the step of:

said trading agent interacting with said second server, further including at least one member of the collection comprising the steps of:

said trading agent working for a trading firm owning said second server;

said trading agent working for said trading firm controlling said second server;

5 said trading agent working for said trading firm managing said second server; and

said trading agent subscribing with said trading firm to receive said trading commitment from said second server; and

said trading firm receiving a firm revenue based at least in part upon said trade.

38. (original) Said firm revenue as a product of the process of Claim 37.

10 39. (original) Said trading commitment, said trade, and said trading revenue as products of the process of Claim 36.

40. (original) The method of Claim 1, wherein at least one of the steps of Claim 1 is implemented using at least one instance of a member of the computer language collection including a script language, in a natural language, in a logic programming language, and in an
15 interpreted language.

41. (original) Said first server of Claim 1,

wherein said first server includes at least one computer executing at least one program system comprised of program steps residing in a memory accessibly coupled to said computer;

20 wherein at least one of said steps comprising said method is at least partially implemented as at least one of said program steps.

42. (original) The apparatus of Claim 41, wherein each of said steps comprising said method is at least partly implemented as at least one of said program steps.

43. (original) The apparatus of Claim 41, wherein said computer includes at least one instance of a member of the collection comprising an instruction processor, an inferential engine, a neural
25 network, and a finite state machine;

wherein said instruction processor is comprised of at least one data execution unit controlled by at least one instruction handler responding to said program steps to control said data execution unit to at least partly implement said steps;

wherein said inferential engine responds to said program steps as nodes and transitions within an inferential graph based upon and modifying a inference database to at least partly implement said steps;

wherein said neural network responds to said program steps as stimulus to at least partly
5 implement said steps;

wherein said finite state machine responds to said program steps as at least one member of a finite state collection comprising a state and a state transition, to implement at least part of said steps.

44. (original) The method of Claim 1, wherein said web view is further comprised of:

10 means for said client interface presenting to said client a second of said transactions as a second of said corresponding item presentations in terms of said transaction detail; and

means for said client interface further developing said transaction detail for said second transaction based upon said second corresponding item presentation and based upon at least one of said client cues.

15 45. (original) The method of Claim 1,

wherein the means for interactively presenting is further comprised of the step of:

means for presenting a second of said transactions as a second of said corresponding item presentations based upon said transaction detail; and

wherein the means for responding is further comprised of the step of:

20 means for responding to said client cue further based upon said second corresponding item presentation to further develop said transaction detail for said second transaction.

46. (original) The method of Claim 1, wherein said corresponding item presentation includes at least one member of an item presentation type collection comprising: a visual item presentation, an auditory item presentation, an olfactory item presentation, a tactile item presentation, a taste
25 item presentation, and a virtual item presentation.

47. (original) The method of Claim 46, wherein said visual item presentation includes at least one member of the collection comprising a two-dimensional visual item presentation, a three-dimensional visual item presentation, and a moving-visual item presentation; and

wherein said virtual item presentation includes at least two member of the remaining of said item presentation type collection members.

48. (original) The method of Claim 1, wherein said client cue includes at least one instance of a client cue primitive collection member;

5 wherein said client cue primitive collection is comprised of a client pointing device event, a client hand motion event, a client keyboard event, a client speech event, a client eye motion event, and a client body event.

49. (original) The method of Claim 48,

10 wherein said client pointing device event includes a client mouse event, a client tablet event, a client track ball event, a client game interface event, a client touch screen event, and a client touch pad event;

 wherein said client hand motion event includes a client sign language event, and a client hand pointing event; and

15 wherein said client speech event includes a recognition event of an auditory pattern from said client.

50. (original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the means for getting said transaction detail to said second server via said first server is further comprised of the step of:

 means for a web browser getting said transaction detail to said second server via said first server.

20 51. (original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the means for responding to said client cue is further comprised of the steps of:

 means for collecting a traffic item directed to said first server from a web page, when said web page does not request a web navigation; and

 means for integrating said traffic item into said transaction detail.

25 52. (original) The method of Claim 1, wherein said transaction detail is a data component accessible by a web browser operating said client interface.

53. (original) The method of Claim 52, wherein said data component includes at least part of at least one member of the collection comprising: a memory, a file, a database, a cookie, a spreadsheet, a document, a folder, a directory, and an inferential graph.

54. (original) A method of generating said web view of Claim 1, comprising the steps of:

5 generating said means for said client interface presenting to said client at least one transaction as a corresponding item presentation in terms of a transaction detail;

generating said means for said client interface developing said transaction detail for said transaction based upon said corresponding item presentation and based upon at least one cue from said client; and

10 generating at least one of said means for said client interface using at least one navigation activator based upon at least one of said client cues.

55. (original) Said web view as a product of Claim 54.

56. (currently amended) A method processing a business transaction for a client by a client interface communicating with a first server using a web view, comprising the steps of:

15 interactively presenting said web view, including a corresponding item presentation, to said client to create a transaction detail, further comprising the steps of:

presenting at least one of said transactions as said corresponding item presentation based upon said transaction detail; and

20 responding to said client cue based upon said corresponding item presentation to develop said transaction detail for said transaction;

using at least one navigation activator based upon a cue from said client to communicate said transaction detail with a second server via said first server, further comprising the steps of:

getting said transaction detail to said second server via said first server; ~~and~~

before

25 requesting a web navigation based upon said client cue.

57. (original) The method of Claim 56, wherein said first server is the same as said second server.

58. (original) The method of Claim 56,

wherein said transaction includes a purchase involving a quantity of an item; and wherein said corresponding item presentation includes a designation of said item and a designation of said quantity;

wherein said second server maintains a shopping cart, including a shopping item, using said transaction detail for said client accessing said first server;

said method further comprising the steps of:

presenting to said client a price for said purchase of said quantity of said item to create a presented price, supporting said second server creating a price code included in said shopping item, via said first server;

said client providing at least one of said cues to trigger said second server receiving a commitment to pay for said purchase of said quantity of said item at said presented price via said first server to create a client commitment.

59. (original) The method of Claim 58, wherein the step of presenting to said client said price is further comprised of a member of the collection comprising the steps of:

said client interface providing a price indication to said client to create said presented price; wherein said price indication included in said transaction item; and

said presented price arriving at said client interface from said second server via said first server and presented to said client for said purchase.

60. (original) Said presented price as a product of the process of Claim 59.

61. (original) Said shopping item as a product of the process of Claim 58.

62. (original) The method of Claim 56, wherein the step requesting said web navigation is further comprised of at least one member of the collection comprising the steps of:

requesting a previously viewed web view;

requesting a new web view;

requesting a reloading of said web view; and

requesting at least one content item to alter said web view.

63. (original) The method of Claim 56, wherein said transaction includes at least one participant for an event described by at least one event descriptor;

wherein said event descriptor includes at least one member of the collection comprising a location, a phone number, a conference call center, a time period, a room reservation, a site reservation, a drink order, and a food order;

wherein said event includes at least one member of a collection including a meeting, a multi-site meeting, a distant conference, and a vacation.

64. (original) The method of Claim 63, further comprising at least one member of the collection comprising the steps of:

receiving a drink delivery agreement from said second server with a catering merchant for said drink order in said time period at said location costing a drink price;

receiving a food delivery agreement from said second server with said catering merchant for said food order in said time period at said location costing a food price; and

receiving a food-drink delivery agreement from said second server with a catering merchant for said drink order and for said food order in said time period at said location costing a food-drink price;

wherein a delivery agreement collection is comprised of said drink delivery agreement, said food delivery agreement, and said food-drink delivery agreement.

65. (original) Said delivery agreement members as products of Claim 64.

66. (original) The method of Claim 63, further comprising at least one member of the collection comprising the steps of:

receiving from said second server a room reservation agreement for said room reservation with a room provider;

receiving from said second server a site reservation agreement for said site reservation with a site provider; and

receiving from said second server a transport reservation agreement regarding said location and said time period with a transport facilitator.

67. (original) Said room reservation agreement, said site reservation agreement, and said transport reservation agreement as products of the process of Claim 66.

68. (original) The method of Claim 56, wherein said transaction includes at least one financial action for an account for an amount; wherein said financial action is a member of a financial action collection comprising a deposit, a withdrawal, and a fee;

wherein said method is further comprised of the step of:

5 said client receiving a benefit of a financial service performed by a financial institution based upon said transaction detail getting to said second server.

69. (original) Said financial service benefit as a product of the process of Claim 68.

70. (original) The method of Claim 56, wherein said transaction detail includes a trading action of a commodity for a quantity meeting a trading condition;

10 wherein each of said trading actions included in said transaction detail reflects a transaction;

wherein said trading action is a member of a trading action collection including a buy-order and a sell-order;

15 wherein said trading condition, when said trading action is said buy-order, includes a maximum-price; and

wherein said trading condition, when said trading action is said sell-order, includes a minimum-price;

wherein said method is further comprised of the step of:

20 said client receiving a benefit of a trade generated based upon said transaction detail getting to said second server;

wherein said trade benefit is a member of the trade benefit collection including a sell-profit based upon said trade, a buy-acquisition based upon said trade, and a declared tax loss based upon said trade.

71. (original) Said trade benefit as a product of the process of Claim 70.

25 72. (original) The method of Claim 56, further comprising the step of: receiving said web view from said first server.

73. (original) Said web view as a product of the Claim 72.

74. (original) Said transaction detail getting to said second server via first server from said client interface, as a product of the process of Claim 56.

75. (original) The method of Claim 56,

wherein the step interactively presenting is further comprised of the step of:

5 presenting a second of said transactions as a second of said corresponding item presentations based upon said transaction detail; and

wherein the step of responding is further comprised of the step of:

responding to said client cue further based upon said second corresponding item presentation to further develop said transaction detail for said second transaction.

10 76. (original) A web browser, implementing the method of Claim 56.

77. (original) A business method of providing an implementation of the method of Claim 56, comprising at least one member of the collection comprising the steps of:

a client interface manufacturer integrating means for implementing said steps of the method of Claim 56 into said client interface to receive a client interface manufacturing revenue;

15 a client interface supplier selling said client interface to said client in order to receive a client interface supplier revenue;

a client service provider providing a web browser implementing said steps of the method of Claim 56 to said client for use on said client interface to receive a client service provider revenue; and

20 a client software package provider providing a software package to said client for use on said client interface to receive a client software package provider revenue; wherein said software package includes means for implementing said steps of the method of Claim 56.

78. (original) A client based revenue collection member as a product of the process of Claim 77;

25 wherein said client based revenue collection includes said client interface manufacturing revenue, said client interface supplier revenue, said client service provider revenue, and said client software package provider revenue.

79. (original) The method of Claim 56, wherein said corresponding item presentation includes at least one member of an item presentation type collection comprising: a visual item presentation, an auditory item presentation, an olfactory item presentation, a tactile item presentation, a taste item presentation, and a virtual item presentation;

5 wherein said visual item presentation includes at least one member of the collection comprising a two-dimensional visual item presentation, a three-dimensional visual item presentation, and a moving-visual item presentation;

wherein said virtual item presentation includes at least two members of the remaining of said item presentation type collection members;

10 wherein said client cue includes at least one instance of a client cue primitive collection member comprised of a client pointing device event, a client hand motion event, a client keyboard event, a client speech event, a client eye motion event, and a client body event;

wherein said client pointing device event includes a client mouse event, a client tablet event, a client track ball event, a client game interface event, a client touch screen event, and a
15 client touch pad event;

wherein said client hand motion event includes a client sign language event, and a client hand pointing event; and

wherein said client speech event includes a recognition event of an auditory pattern from said client.

20 80. (original) The method of Claim 56, wherein the step getting said transaction detail to said second server via said first server is further comprised of the step of:

said web view getting said transaction detail to said second server via said first server.

81. (original) The method of Claim 56, wherein the step of responding to said client cue is further comprised of the steps of:

25 collecting a traffic item directed to said first server from a web view, when said web view does not request a web navigation; and

integrating said traffic item into said transaction detail.

82. (original) The method of Claim 56, wherein said transaction detail is a data component accessible by said web view,

wherein said data component includes at least part of at least one member of the collection comprising: a memory, a file, a database, a cookie, a spreadsheet, a document, a folder, a directory, and an inferential graph.

83. (original) Said client interface of Claim 56,

5 wherein said client interface includes at least one client interface computer executing at least one client interface program system comprised of program steps residing in a client interface memory accessibly coupled to said client interface computer;

wherein at least one of said steps comprising said method is at least partially implemented as at least one of said program steps.

10 84. (original) The apparatus of Claim 83, wherein each of said steps comprising said method is at least partly implemented as at least one of said program steps.

85. (original) The apparatus of Claim 83, wherein said client interface computer includes at least one instance of a member of the collection comprising an instruction processor, an inferential engine, a neural network, and a finite state machine;

15 wherein said instruction processor is comprised of at least one data execution unit controlled by at least one instruction handler responding to said program steps to control said data execution unit to at least partly implement said steps;

wherein said inferential engine responds to said program steps as nodes and transitions within an inferential graph based upon and modifying a inference database to at least partly
20 implement said steps;

wherein said neural network responds to said program steps as stimulus to at least partly implement said steps;

wherein said finite state machine responds to said program steps as at least one member of a finite state collection comprising a state and a state transition, to implement at least part of
25 said steps.

86. (original) The apparatus of Claim 83, wherein said client interface memory includes at least one instance of at least one member of a memory type collection comprising: a non-volatile memory, and a volatile memory;

wherein said non-volatile memory includes at least one memory state retained without applying a power source to said non-volatile memory; and

a volatile memory includes at least one memory state lost without applying said power source to said volatile memory.

5 87. (original) The method of Claim 56, wherein at least one of the steps of Claim 56 is implemented using at least one instance of a member of the computer language collection including a script language, in a natural language, in a logic programming language, and in an interpreted language.

88. (original) A method of generating said web view of Claim 56, comprising the steps of:
10 generating a means for said client interface presenting to said client at least one transaction as a corresponding item presentation in terms of a transaction detail;
generating a means for said client interface developing said transaction detail for said transaction based upon said corresponding item presentation and based upon at least one cue from said client; and
15 generating at least one of said means for said client interface using at least one navigation activator based upon at least one of said client cues.

89. (original) Said web view as a product of Claim 88.

90. (original) The method using said web view as a product of Claim 88, comprising the step of: sending said web view to said first server.

20 91. (original) The method of a web developer making means implementing the steps of said client interface of Claim 56,
said web developer creating a source means for said steps;
said web developer operating a source translator toolkit receiving said source means to create said means provided to said web developer.

25 92. (original) Said means as a product of Claim 91.

93. (original) Said web developer using said means of Claim 91 for business comprising at least one member of the collection comprising the steps of:

said web developer providing said means to a client software package provider to induce a first developer revenue being sent to said web developer;

said web developer providing said means to a client service provider to induce a second developer revenue being sent to said web developer; and

5 said web developer providing a plug-in containing said means to said client to induce a third developer revenue being sent to said web developer.

94. (original) Said first developer revenue, said second developer revenue and said third developer revenue as products of the process of Claim 93.

95. (currently amended) An apparatus supporting transacting business, comprising:

10 a web view, including:

 means for a client interface presenting to a client at least one transaction as a corresponding item presentation in terms of a transaction detail;

 means for said client interface developing said transaction detail for said transaction based upon said corresponding item presentation and based upon at least one cue from said client; and

15 means for said client interface using at least one navigation activator based upon at least one of said client cues, further including:

 means for getting said transaction detail from said client interface to a second server via a first server; ~~and~~ before performing

20 means for requesting a web navigation.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

5 The Examiner is thanked for the productive telephones interviews regarding this application. This proposed amendment represents a commitment by the Applicant to expedite prosecution of this application and should not be considered in any way an agreement with the Examiner's perspective. The Examiner has suggested that the matter of lines 8 and 9 on page 28 of the initially filed application would be allowable in the face of the cited prior art, which includes US Patents 6,332,163 and 6,697,824, when added to the root claims 56 and 95. These
10 lines 8 and 9 state:

In certain embodiments there may be a preference for getting the transaction detail 320 to the second server 500 before requesting web navigation from the first server 100.

15

Claims 95 and 56 have been amended as follows to incorporate this limitation:

56. (currently amended) *A method processing a business transaction for a client by a client interface communicating with a first server using a web view, comprising the steps of:*
20

interactively presenting said web view, including a corresponding item presentation, to said client to create a transaction detail, further comprising the steps of:

presenting at least one of said transactions as said corresponding item presentation based upon said transaction detail; and
25

responding to said client cue based upon said corresponding item presentation to develop said transaction detail for said transaction;

using at least one navigation activator based upon a cue from said client to communicate said transaction detail with a second server via said first server, further comprising the steps of:
30

*getting said transaction detail to said second server via said first server;
and before
requesting a web navigation based upon said client cue.*

5 95. (currently amended) *An apparatus supporting transacting business,
comprising:*

a web view, including:

*means for a client interface presenting to a client at least one transaction
as a corresponding item presentation in terms of a transaction detail;*

10 *means for said client interface developing said transaction detail for said
transaction based upon said corresponding item presentation and based upon at
least one cue from said client; and*

*means for said client interface using at least one navigation activator
based upon at least one of said client cues, further including:*

15 *means for getting said transaction detail from said client interface to a
second server via a first server; and before performing*

means for requesting a web navigation.

20 Again, based upon the desire to expedite prosecution, the Applicant respectfully submits
that these claims now comply with the perspective of the Examiner, and should be put in
condition for allowance.

Consequently, the other pending claims, which depend upon either Claim 95 or Claim 56,
are allowable.

Summary of Remarks and Arguments

The amendments as presented do not introduce new matter, nor do they represent an agreement with the Examiner's rejection of the Claims. The amendments have been made strictly
5 for the purpose of expediting the prosecution of this patent application.

Applicant invites the Examiner to contact Earle Jennings, as listed below, for a telephonic interview if so doing would expedite the prosecution of the application.

10 Very respectfully submitted,

Earle Jennings	Reg. No. 44,804
15 8 Kenyon Ave.	Phone (510) 559-9074
Kensington, CA 94708	Fax (510) 559-2970